

Roll No: -----



**UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND ENERGY STUDIES**

**End Semester Examination, May 2018**

**Program: B.Tech. GSE and GIE**

**Subject (Course): Statistical Methods in Geosciences**

**Course Code : GSEG 331**

**No. of page/s: 3**

**Semester – VI**

**Max. Marks : 100**

**Duration : 3 Hrs**

**Useful tabular values (single tail):**

$P(Z \geq 1.96) = 0.025, P(Z \geq 2.58) = 0.005, P(Z \geq 1.645) = 0.05$   
 $t_{0.025,8} = 2.306, t_{0.025,9} = 2.262, t_{0.025,10} = 2.228, t_{0.025,14} = 2.145, t_{0.025,15} = 2.131,$   
 $t_{0.025,16} = 2.120, t_{0.025,20} = 2.086, t_{0.025,21} = 2.080, t_{0.025,22} = 2.074 .$   
 $t_{0.05,8} = 1.860, t_{0.05,9} = 1.833, t_{0.05,10} = 1.812, t_{0.05,14} = 1.761, t_{0.05,15} = 1.753,$   
 $t_{0.05,16} = 1.746, t_{0.05,20} = 1.725, t_{0.05,21} = 1.721, t_{0.05,22} = 1.717 .$   
 $F_{9,11,0.05} = 2.90, F_{11,9,0.05} = 3.10, F_{8,10,0.05} = 3.07, F_{10,8,0.05} = 3.35.$

Attempt all questions from **Section A** (each carrying 4 marks); attempt all questions from **Section B** (each carrying 8 marks); attempt all questions from **Section C** (each carrying 20 marks).

**Section A**  
**( Attempt all questions)**

1.	Lots of 40 components each are called unacceptable if they contain as many as 3 defectives or more. The procedure for sampling the lot is to select 5 components at random and to reject the lot if a defective is found. What is the probability that exactly 1 defective is found in the sample if there are 3 defectives in the entire lot?	[4]	CO1
2.	The probability that a certain kind of component will survive a shock test is 0.75. Find the probability that exactly 2 of the next 4 components tested survive.	[4]	CO1
3.	Let us consider the least-squares line be $\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x$ , then construct a 95% confidence interval for $\beta_1$ . Given that $n = 10, S_{xx} = 263.6, S_{xy} = 534.2, \bar{y} = 4.6, \bar{x} = 3.8$ .	[4]	CO2
4.	Find $SE(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)$ under $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$ where $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2$ are means of two samples and two populations, respectively.	[4]	CO2

5	<p>If <math>\gamma(h)</math> is a variogram function and <math>C(h)</math> is a covariance function for a second order stationary random field following intrinsic hypothesis, prove that,</p> $\gamma(h) = C(0) - C(h)$	[4]	CO4																						
<b>SECTION B</b> <b>(Attempt all questions and Q10 has internal choice)</b>																									
6.	<p>In a large city A, 25% of a random sample of 900 school children had defective eye-sight. In other large city B, 15.5% of random sample of 1600 schoolchildren had the same effect. Is this difference between the two proportions significant? Obtain 95% confidence limits for the difference in the population proportions?</p>	[8]	CO2																						
7.	<p>The heights of six randomly chosen sailors are in inches: 63, 65, 68, 69, 71 and 72. Those of 10 randomly chosen soldiers are 61, 62, 65, 66, 69, 69, 70, 71, 72 and 73. Discuss the light that these data throw on the suggestion that sailors are on the average taller than soldiers.</p>	[8]	CO2																						
8.	<p>Use the method of least squares to fit a straight line to the accompanying data points. Give the estimates of <math>\beta_0</math> and <math>\beta_1</math> and hence find the coefficient of determination.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td>x</td> <td>-1</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>-2</td> <td>5</td> <td>6</td> <td>8</td> <td>11</td> <td>12</td> <td>-3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>y</td> <td>-5</td> <td>-4</td> <td>2</td> <td>-7</td> <td>6</td> <td>9</td> <td>13</td> <td>21</td> <td>20</td> <td>-9</td> </tr> </table>	x	-1	0	2	-2	5	6	8	11	12	-3	y	-5	-4	2	-7	6	9	13	21	20	-9	[8]	CO3
x	-1	0	2	-2	5	6	8	11	12	-3															
y	-5	-4	2	-7	6	9	13	21	20	-9															
9	<p>Compute the variogram, <math>\gamma(h)</math>, for <math>h = 9</math> for the data given below on a straight line. 4, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5, 4, 4, 5, 4, 5, 17, 8, 2, 2, 3, 7, 7, 1, 6, 10, 9, 9, 10, 11, 12, 11, 3, 3, 4. Each data is separated by 3 feet.</p>	[8]	CO4																						
10.	<p>Mathematically, define the simple kriging error variance, and express it as a function of variance-covariance function.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>OR</b></p> <p>Mathematically, define the ordinary kriging error variance, and express it as a function of variogram function.</p>	[8]	CO4																						
<b>SECTION C</b> <b>(Attempt all questions and Q12A, Q12B have internal choice)</b>																									
11.A	<p>Find the moment generating function of the binomial distribution and hence find the mean.</p>	[10]	CO1																						

11.B	<p>Two random samples gave the following results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="345 260 1125 470"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>Size</th> <th>Sample Mean</th> <th>Sum of squares of deviations from the mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>10</td> <td>15</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>12</td> <td>14</td> <td>108</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Test whether the samples come from the same normal population at 10% level of significance.</p>	Sample	Size	Sample Mean	Sum of squares of deviations from the mean	1	10	15	90	2	12	14	108	[10]	CO2																
Sample	Size	Sample Mean	Sum of squares of deviations from the mean																												
1	10	15	90																												
2	12	14	108																												
12.A	<p>Let <math>Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \varepsilon</math> be a simple linear regression model with <math>\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)</math> and let the errors <math>\varepsilon_i</math> associated with different observations <math>y_i</math> (<math>i = 1, 2, \dots, N</math>) be independent. Then show that,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>\widehat{\beta}_0</math> and <math>\widehat{\beta}_1</math> have normal distributions.</li> <li>The mean and variance are given by</li> </ol> $E[\widehat{\beta}_0] = \beta_0, \text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_0) = \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{S_{xx}}\right) \sigma^2 \text{ and } E[\widehat{\beta}_1] = \beta_1, \text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}$ <p>where <math>S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2</math>. In particular, the least-squares estimators <math>\widehat{\beta}_0</math> and <math>\widehat{\beta}_1</math> are unbiased estimators of <math>\beta_0</math> and <math>\beta_1</math> respectively.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>OR</b></p> <p>Show that <math>\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \widehat{y}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\widehat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2</math></p>	[10]	CO3																												
12.B	<p>Consider the given data from three location as mentioned below to estimate the value, <math>Z(x_0)</math> at <math>x_0 = (180, 120)</math> using simple kriging. Given <math>E[Z(x)] = 110</math> and the variance-covariance function <math>2000 * \exp\left(\frac{-h}{250}\right)</math>.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="399 1331 1073 1486"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><math>x</math></th> <th><math>y</math></th> <th><math>Z(x_i)</math></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><math>x_1</math></td> <td>387</td> <td>72</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>x_2</math></td> <td>392</td> <td>81</td> <td>56</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>x_3</math></td> <td>388</td> <td>56</td> <td>53</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>OR</b></p> <p>Consider the given data from two location as mentioned below to estimate the value, <math>Z(x_0)</math> at <math>x_0 = (180, 120)</math> using ordinary kriging. Given the variance-covariance function <math>2000 * \exp\left(\frac{-h}{250}\right)</math>.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="399 1717 1073 1833"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><math>x</math></th> <th><math>y</math></th> <th><math>Z(x_i)</math></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><math>x_1</math></td> <td>387</td> <td>72</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>x_2</math></td> <td>392</td> <td>81</td> <td>56</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		$x$	$y$	$Z(x_i)$	$x_1$	387	72	50	$x_2$	392	81	56	$x_3$	388	56	53		$x$	$y$	$Z(x_i)$	$x_1$	387	72	50	$x_2$	392	81	56	[10]	CO4
	$x$	$y$	$Z(x_i)$																												
$x_1$	387	72	50																												
$x_2$	392	81	56																												
$x_3$	388	56	53																												
	$x$	$y$	$Z(x_i)$																												
$x_1$	387	72	50																												
$x_2$	392	81	56																												