

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 World energy consumption by energy source, 1990-2040 (Mtoe).....	3
Figure 1.2 Type of energy resource and the share of energy consumption in India by 2013	6
Figure 1.3 Steps involve in 1st and 2nd generation fuel conversion processes.....	8
Figure 1.4 Steps involved in biochemical conversion of biomass to ethanol.....	9
Figure 1.5 Cellobiose unit in cellulose chains (β -(1-4)-glycosidic bond)	10
Figure 1.6 Arabinoglucuronoxylan in softwood hemicellulose.....	11
Figure 1.7 Lignin dimeric linkage and 3D structure.....	12
Figure 1.8 Biomass pretreatment and saccharification.....	12
Figure 1.9 Cation and anions of ionic liquids.....	19
Figure 1.10 Properties of liquid for biomass processing	20
Figure 1.11 General research methodology	23
Figure 1.12 Thesis layout.....	26
Figure 2.1 Intra/Intermolecular hydrogen bonding in cellulose I and cellulose II chains	29
Figure 2.2 4-Nitroanisole and 4-nitroaniline and Reichardt's dye	52
Figure 2.3 Interaction of imidazolium ionic liquid with OH groups of cellulose.	58
Figure 3.1 Lignocellulosic biomass and their component	65
Figure 3.2 Schematics diagram of physicochemical characterization of biomass	68
Figure 3.3 Thermogravimetric analysis of biomass samples.....	78
Figure 3.4 Differential thermogravimetric analysis of biomass samples	80
Figure 3.5 X-ray diffraction pattern of biomass samples	82
Figure 3.6 FT-IR Spectra of native biomass samples.....	87
Figure 4.1 Inter/intramolecular hydrogen bonding in cellulose I.....	90
Figure 4.2 Mechanism of interaction of imidazolium ILs with cellulose chain...	92

Figure 4.3 Ionic liquid structure.....	93
Figure 4.4 Scheme of over all research methodology used	94
Figure 4.5 Scheme of IL treatment & downstream processing	95
Figure 4.6 Time course for enzymatic hydrolysis and glucose yield (%) of untreated and ILs treated cellulose. Conditions: 100 mg untreated/regenerated cellulose, 0.8 mL of sodium citrate buffer 0.05M, 10 FPU/g substrate, 50 °C, 150 rpm, time, 72 h.....	100
Figure 4.7 Time course for enzymatic hydrolysis and glucose yield (%) of untreated and treated cellulose. Conditions: 100 mg untreated/regenerated cellulose, 0.8 mL of citrate buffer 0.05M, 20 FPU/g substrate of Advance enzyme, 50 °C, 150 rpm.	101
Figure 4.8 K-T parameters of various ILs using the following set of dyes: Reichardt's dye, N, N-diethyl-4-nitroaniline and 4-nitroaniline. (a) Hydrogen bond basicity (β), (b) polarizability (π^*).....	105
Figure 4.9 K-T parameters: Hydrogen bond acidity (α) as a function of temperature in the range of 25 to 130 °C.....	105
Figure 4.10 Effect of hydrogen bond basicity (β) of ILs and correlation between β vs. glucose yield (%) of the treated cellulose at 100 °C/5 h and 130 °C/2 h.....	106
Figure 4.11 Effect of the viscosity of ILs and correlation with glucose yield (%) of the treated cellulose at 100 °C/5 h and 130 °C/2 h.....	108
Figure 4.12 Surface tension (σ) of different ILs as a function of temperature for the range of 25 to 75 °C and extrapolated to obtain the values at 100 and 130 °C..	109
Figure 4.13 Effect of surface tension (σ) of ILs and the correlation between σ vs. glucose yield (%) at 100 °C/5 h and 130 °C/2 h.....	110
Figure 4.14 Relationship between ln (surface tension, σ) and kinematic (viscosity, η) for imidazolium-based ILs.....	111
Figure 4.15 PXRD diffraction patterns for untreated and ILs treated cellulose treated by five ILs at 100 °C/5 h and 130 °C/2 h.....	113
Figure 4.16 Correlation of CrI of treated cellulose vs. glucose yield (%) after 72 h of enzymatic hydrolysis.....	114

Figure 4.17 FT-IR spectrum of untreated and regenerated cellulose treated by five ILs; (a) 100 °C/5 h and (b) 130 °C/2 h.	116
Figure 5.1 Systematic representation for biomass pretreatment and saccharification	123
Figure 5.2 Glucan digestibility of the pretreated mustard stalk with two different pretreatment conditions: 100 mg untreated/pretreated biomass, 0.8 mL of sodium citrate buffer, 0.05M, 10 FPU/g of pretreated biomass of SacchriSEBC6, 50 °C, 150 rpm, time, 72 h). (a) 100 °C/5 h; (b) 130 °C/2 h.....	128
Figure 5.3 Xylan digestibility of the pretreated mustard stalk with two different pretreatment conditions: 100 mg untreated/pretreated biomass, 0.8 mL of sodium citrate buffer, 0.05M, 10 FPU/g of pretreated biomass of SacchriSEBC6, 50 °C, 150 rpm, time, 72 h). (a) 100 °C/5 h; (b) 130 °C/2 h.....	129
Figure 5.4 Glucan and Xylan digestibility of the pretreated mustard stalk with two different pretreatment conditions: 100 mg untreated/pretreated biomass, 0.8 mL of sodium citrate buffer, 0.05M, 20 FPU/g of pretreated biomass of SacchriSEBC6, 50 °C, 150 rpm, time, 72 h); 130 °C/2 h.....	130
Figure 5.5 a) Correlation of kinematic viscosity vs. glucose yield (%) after 72 h of enzymatic hydrolysis with 10 FPU/g of pretreated biomass using a SacchariSEBC6 enzyme; b) Correlation of surface tension vs. glucose yield (%) after 72 h of enzymatic hydrolysis with 10 FPU/g of pretreated biomass using a SacchariSEBC6 enzyme.....	139
Figure 5.6 Impact of xylan/lignin removal on glucose yield (%) for 100 °C/5 h and 130 °C/2 h treatment with 10 FPU/g of the pretreated biomass of SacchariSEBC6.	142
Figure 5.7 (a) Promotion of an acetate ion from the S ₀₁ state to the B ₁₁ state (a) directly and (b) via the S ₀₂ transition state; (b) Dissolution of cellulose by ionic liquid [A] ⁺ [B] ⁻	143
Figure 5.8 X-Ray diffraction patterns and CrI value (noted on the right side the spectrum) of the pretreated mustard stalk with different pretreatment conditions:	

(a) 100 °C/5 h and (b) 130 °C/2 h.....	147
Figure 5.9 Correlation of CrI of pretreated biomass vs. glucose yield (%) after 72 h enzymatic hydrolysis with 10FPU/g of SacchariSEBC6enzymes.....	148
Figure 5.10 FT-IR spectrum with CrI (noted on the right side of the spectrum) of the pretreated mustard stalk with different pretreatment conditions: (a) 100 °C/5 h and (b) 130 °C/2 h.....	149
Figure 5.11 Inter/intrachain hydrogen bonding and postulated mechanism of cellulose I to cellulose II transformation	153
Figure 6.1 Pore formation in biomass during IL pretreatment	158
Figure 6.2 Freezing and non-freezing bound water in biomass cell wall	165
Figure 6.3: Pore volume distribution of IL pretreated mustard stalk at; (a) 100 °C/5h; (b) 130 °C/2h.....	166
Figure 6.4: Co-relation of between total freezing bound water (mg/g) vs. glucose yield (%)......	169
Figure 6.5: Co-relation between: a) Glucose yield (%) vs. specific surface area (m ² /g); b) Total pore volume (mg/g) vs. specific surface area (m ² /g).....	171
Figure 6.6 Fourier Transform spectrum (FT-IR) of ionic liquid treated biomass	173
Figure 6.7 Correlation graphs: a) LOI/TCI/HBI/Acetyl content vs. glucose yield (%); b) β-values vs. LOI, TCI and HBI	174
Figure 6.8 SEM images of native and IL treated biomass at 130 °C/2h; A) Native mustard stalk; B) [C ₄ mim][BF ₄]; C) [C ₂ mim][Cl]; D) [C ₄ mim][OAc]; E) [C ₄ mim][OAc]; F) [C ₂ mim][OAc]	175